

Fethi Belkhir

## **Soft-sensor design and dynamic model development for a biomass combustion power plant**

**Soft-sensor design and dynamic model  
development for a biomass combustion  
power plant**

**Soft-sensor Entwurf und Entwicklung  
mathematischer Modelle eines Biomasse-  
verbrennungskraftwerks**

Dissertation  
zur Erlangung des Grades  
des Doktors der Ingenieurwissenschaften  
der Naturwissenschaftlich-Technischen Fakultät  
der Universität des Saarlandes

von

Fethi Belkhir

Saarbrücken

2017

**Tag des Kolloquiums:**

11.09.2017

**Dekan:**

Univ.-Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Guido Kickelbick

**Mitglieder des Prüfungsausschusses**

Vorsitzender:

Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Chihao Xu

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr. Andreas Schütze

Akademischer Mitarbeiter

Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Frey

Dr.-Ing. Emanuele Grasso

Berichte aus der Automatisierungstechnik

**Fethi Belkhir**

**Soft-sensor design and dynamic model development  
for a biomass combustion power plant**

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2018

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Saarbrücken, Univ., Diss., 2017

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2018

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-5832-1

ISSN 0945-4659

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

This thesis is dedicated to my parents Bouzid Belkhir & Souad Haouam for their endless love, support and encouragement. To all great spirits who despite the adversities thrown their way decided not to give up on their definite chief aim.

“Resolve says, ‘I will’. The man says, ‘I will climb this mountain. They told me it is too high, too far, too steep, too rocky and too difficult. But it’s my mountain. I will climb it. You will soon see me waving from the top or dead on the side from trying.”

Jim Rohn



---

# Abstract

**English:** From a system theory perspective, a biomass power plant is a nonlinear, coupled multivariate system with multiple inputs (fuel feed, air supply, grate speed) and multiple outputs (gas temperature, oxygen concentration, steam generated), where the different process input-output relationships are difficult to understand due to the large disturbances acting on the combustion process, which emanate mainly from the varying calorific value of fuel delivered to the furnace. Hence, any attempt to maintain stable operating conditions and to design or improve the control strategy being employed will lead to suboptimal solutions, which may jeopardize the commercial character of the combustion site. One possible way to handle such a situation is by improving the combustion performance using advanced model-based control strategies for this aim to further ameliorate the economical aspect of the power plant, while adhering to stringent emission standards. These control techniques explicitly incorporate the available process knowledge, which is represented in terms of an available mathematical model, used by the controller to compute the best control actions to fulfill the multiple conflicting goals in the plant. Therefore, mathematical modeling will be carried out to derive a suitable dynamic model of the power plant. The model is extended by designing a soft-sensor which estimates the energy content of fuel mix.

**German:** Aus systemtheoretischer Perspektive ist ein Biomassekraftwerk ein nicht-lineares, verkoppeltes Mehrgrößensystem mit mehreren Eingängen (Brennstoffzufuhr, Luftzufuhr, Rostgeschwindigkeit) und mehreren Ausgängen (Gastemperatur, Sauerstoffkonzentration, erzeugter Dampfmenge). Dabei werden die unterschiedlichen Beziehungen zwischen Prozesseingängen und -ausgängen von großen Störungen überlagert, die hauptsächlich vom variierenden Heizwert der in den Ofen gelieferten Biomasse herrühren. Deshalb wird jeder Versuch, stabile Betriebsbedingungen aufrechtzuerhalten und die eingesetzte Regelstrategie zu verbessern, zu suboptimalen Lösungen führen, die den kommerziellen Nutzen des Kraftwerks gefährden können. Eine Lösungsmöglichkeit ist die Verbesserung der Verbrennungsleistung unter Verwendung höherer modellbasierter Regelungsstrategien, um den wirtschaftlichen Aspekt des Kraftwerks unter Einhaltung strikter Emissionsnormen weiter zu verbessern. Diese Strategien integrieren explizit das verfügbare Prozesswissen, das durch ein verfügbares mathematisches Modell repräsentiert wird, das vom Regler verwendet wird, um die besten Steuerungsaktionen zu berechnen, die die vielfältigen konkurrierenden Ziele in der Anlage erfüllen. Daher wird eine mathematische Modellierung durchgeführt, um ein geeignetes dynamisches Modell des Kraftwerks abzuleiten. Das Modell wird um einen Softsensor erweitert, der den Energiegehalt des Brennstoffs schätzt.



---

## Acknowledgment

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg Frey. Without his guidance and support, I would not have been able to complete my doctoral thesis.

I would also like to thank my colleagues, with whom I worked during the four years of my research project at the Chair of Automation and Energy Systems, for all the help and support they have provided.



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	ii
<b>Acknowledgment</b>	ii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Motivation and target . . . . .	3
1.3 Organization of the thesis . . . . .	5
<b>2 Biomass as energy source</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Properties and characterization . . . . .	8
2.1.1 Chemical composition . . . . .	8
2.1.2 Moisture content . . . . .	12
2.1.3 Calorific value . . . . .	13
2.1.4 Ash content . . . . .	15
2.2 Biomass properties impact on combustion system . . . . .	18
<b>3 Power generation from biomass</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Biomass combustion technologies . . . . .	20
3.1.1 Grate-fired combustors . . . . .	21
3.1.2 Fluidized-bed combustions . . . . .	21
3.1.3 Pulverized-fuel combustors . . . . .	23
3.1.4 Comparison of different technologies . . . . .	23
3.2 The grate firing system . . . . .	25
3.2.1 Grate variants . . . . .	26
3.2.2 Furnace geometry . . . . .	27
3.2.3 Description of BMK Lünen . . . . .	29
3.3 Thermochemical conversion processes . . . . .	32
3.3.1 Drying . . . . .	33
3.3.2 Pyrolysis . . . . .	34
3.3.3 Gasification . . . . .	35
3.3.4 Oxidation . . . . .	36
<b>4 Mathematical modeling of a biomass power plant</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1 A review . . . . .	40
4.2 Combustion furnace . . . . .	44
4.2.1 Reactor modeling . . . . .	44
4.2.2 Burning rate . . . . .	51
4.2.3 Drying process . . . . .	52
4.2.4 Residence time . . . . .	54
4.3 Heat transfer mechanisms . . . . .	56
4.3.1 Radiative heat transfer in furnace . . . . .	57
4.3.2 Convective heat transfer in bed . . . . .	62

4.4	Steam boiler . . . . .	64
4.5	Model calibration . . . . .	67
4.5.1	The calibration procedure . . . . .	68
4.5.2	Optimization algorithm . . . . .	69
<b>5</b>	<b>Biomass calorific value soft-sensing</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Existing techniques . . . . .	75
5.1.1	Direct techniques . . . . .	77
5.1.2	Indirect techniques . . . . .	81
5.2	Thermal power estimation . . . . .	83
5.2.1	Combustion stoichiometry . . . . .	83
5.2.2	Chemical reactions . . . . .	84
5.2.3	Combustion air . . . . .	86
5.3	Static combustion model . . . . .	87
5.3.1	Mass balance . . . . .	88
5.3.2	Energy balance . . . . .	90
5.3.3	Combustion and boiler efficiencies . . . . .	94
5.4	Fuel calorific value monitoring . . . . .	100
5.4.1	The monitoring approach . . . . .	100
5.4.2	Prediction of steam generated . . . . .	102
5.5	Soft-sensor: A schematic overview . . . . .	102
<b>6</b>	<b>Simulation results and discussion</b>	<b>105</b>
6.1	Soft-sensor simulation . . . . .	105
6.1.1	Static combustion model . . . . .	105
6.1.2	Calorific value estimation . . . . .	109
6.1.3	Section summary . . . . .	114
6.2	Understanding the plant dynamics . . . . .	115
6.2.1	Step response experiments . . . . .	115
6.2.2	Section summary . . . . .	119
6.3	Power plant simulation . . . . .	120
6.3.1	Boiler model . . . . .	120
6.3.2	Furnace model . . . . .	122
6.3.3	Section summary . . . . .	125
<b>7</b>	<b>Summary and future work</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>129</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Appendix II</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Appendix I</b>	<b>147</b>